

A Comprehensive Theory of the Token-Phase Framework for Quantum Interference, Entanglement and Gravitation

Stanley F. Knill

Email: knillstanley31@gmail.com

November 6, 2025

Abstract

This paper presents a comprehensive formulation of the Token-Phase Framework (TPF), an interpretive and mathematical model unifying the behaviour of quantum interference, entanglement, and gravitation through a single principle: that all quantised interactions arise from discrete tokens of phase exchange between coupled systems. Each token carries phase information but not substance. The framework removes the need for the conventional particle–wave duality, instead proposing that observable phenomena emerge from the structured exchange of phase-tokens governed by a finite-phase formalism akin to the Pegg–Barnett model [1]. The theory provides a rational reinterpretation of the photon in the photoelectric effect, the collapse of the wavefunction in quantum interference, and the coherence structure of gravitational coupling. It suggests that quantum and gravitational effects are manifestations of the same phase-token mechanism operating over different energy scales.

1 Introduction

Modern physics separates quantum and relativistic domains despite their shared reliance on invariant quantities and field symmetries [4,7]. The Token-Phase Framework (TPF) seeks to supply a deeper structural explanation that reconciles these domains by identifying the token—a quantised element of phase—as the fundamental exchange unit in all interactions. The objective of this study is not to propose new mathematics for its own sake but to reinterpret known quantum formalisms through a discrete phase-exchange ontology [1]. Quantum superposition, interference, entanglement and gravity are redescribed as emergent consequences of the statistical distribution and correlation of these tokens. The motivation for this approach lies in three longstanding gaps in conventional theory:

- **The interpretive gap** — Quantum mechanics describes results but not underlying mechanism.
- **The duality paradox** — The same entity behaves as both particle and wave.
- **The unification gap** — Gravitation resists integration with quantum description.

TPF proposes that all three issues are resolved when the fundamental entity is not an energy packet (particle) but a *phase token*: an indivisible unit of relative phase potential. The exchange and correlation of such tokens produce the patterns observed as interference, entanglement, and gravitation.

2 The Token and Phase Formalism

2.1 Definition of a Token

A token is defined as the minimal transferable unit of phase correlation between two quantum systems. It represents not a quantity of energy or momentum but a discrete increment of phase relation—the smallest

step through which one system can influence the coherence of another. Mathematically, a token can be represented as a finite-phase increment:

$$\Delta\phi = \frac{2\pi}{N},$$

where N is the number of distinguishable phase states available to the system, as in the Pegg–Barnett finite-phase model [1]. Each token, therefore, corresponds to a single quantised “rotation” in phase space.

2.2 Token Exchange and Conservation

While energy and momentum are conserved quantities in classical and quantum mechanics, TPF introduces phase-token conservation: in any closed system, the sum of phase tokens exchanged between all subsystems remains invariant modulo 2π . This condition parallels unitarity in conventional quantum theory but provides a physical underpinning—the balance of token flow ensures coherence [4].

2.3 The Token Operator

Define phase states $|\phi_k\rangle$ with $\phi_k = 2\pi k/N$. The token (shift) operator \hat{T} acts as

$$\hat{T}|\phi_k\rangle = |\phi_{k+1}\rangle,$$

with cyclic boundary conditions $|\phi_N\rangle = |\phi_0\rangle$. In the number basis, the operator can be represented as a unitary matrix with eigenvalues the N th roots of unity. Use of the finite basis ensures a consistent phase operator as in Pegg–Barnett [1].

3 Quantum Interference Redescribed

3.1 The Two-Slit Paradigm

In the traditional explanation, a single particle “interferes with itself” as a delocalised wave. Within TPF, however, no particle traverses both paths. Instead, the emission source generates a correlated token field distributed over the available phase states of both paths. Each token carries a distinct phase increment, and the detection probability at any screen point corresponds to the vector sum of token correlations that arrive there. Hence, the apparent self-interference is an inter-token correlation phenomenon, not a duality paradox [5]. When one path is blocked, the associated token channel is suppressed, destroying the phase correlation and therefore the interference pattern.

3.2 Collapse and Detection

The so-called collapse of the wavefunction occurs when a detector absorbs a coherent subset of tokens, thereby fixing their phase relationship and eliminating the potential for further superposition. This collapse is not mystical; it is the physical termination of token exchange between source and detector [2].

3.3 Decoherence Threshold

TPF predicts a measurable decoherence threshold determined by the loss of token synchronisation between interacting systems. When environmental fluctuations randomise token phases beyond $\pm\pi/N$, interference visibility falls to zero. This prediction aligns with empirical decoherence studies [2] and provides a quantitative bridge between microscopic coherence and macroscopic classicality.

4 Entanglement and Correlation

4.1 Tokens as Carriers of Correlation

In quantum entanglement, distant systems exhibit robust correlations despite spatial separation. Within TPF, entanglement arises because the systems share a common token origin—a set of correlated phase increments generated simultaneously. Once emitted, these tokens maintain relative phase integrity irrespective

of distance, provided the decoherence threshold is not exceeded. Measurement does not transmit information; it reveals the pre-existing synchrony of their phase-token histories [6].

4.2 Bell-Type Correlations

The TPF formalism reproduces Bell-type correlations without invoking nonlocal communication. Since all measurement outcomes depend on the relative phase of shared tokens, their correlations appear “instantaneous,” though the physical cause was established at emission. This resolves the Einstein–Podolsky–Rosen paradox in interpretive spirit [8]: the systems are not connected by superluminal signals but by a conserved phase-token relationship.

5 The Photon and the Photoelectric Effect

5.1 The Photon Reinterpreted

Historically, the photoelectric effect led to the notion of the photon as a quantised particle of light carrying energy $E = h\nu$ [3]. Within TPF, the emission of light is not a stream of particles but a series of phase-token emissions, each corresponding to a discrete cycle of field coherence. Energy quantisation arises because each full token cycle corresponds to one complete period of the oscillatory source, thus naturally yielding $E = h\nu$ without invoking corpuscular photons.

5.2 Photoelectric Mechanism

In a photoelectric interaction, an electron is released when the cumulative phase correlation between the incident token field and the atomic electron reaches a threshold corresponding to the binding energy. The relationship between frequency and energy follows from the rate at which phase tokens arrive and synchronise with the electron’s own phase field. No particle-like “impact” occurs; instead, release is triggered when the token-phase alignment satisfies

$$n\hbar\omega = E_b,$$

where n is the number of synchronised tokens required to achieve phase closure. This accounts for the frequency dependence observed by Einstein while removing the need for a particulate photon ontology.

5.3 Implications for Quantum Optics

TPF predicts that apparent particle detections in low-intensity light experiments arise from discrete token-phase terminations rather than corpuscular arrivals. Experiments involving delayed-choice and single-photon sources are therefore reinterpreted as tests of phase-token coherence length, not photon existence per se [5].

6 Gravitation, the Speed of Light and Action on Inertial Bodies

6.1 Gravitational Phase Coupling

In classical theory, gravitation is curvature in spacetime [7]. In TPF, curvature is replaced by gradients in phase-token density. A massive body alters the local phase-token field, creating a coherent bias such that other systems exchange tokens preferentially along the direction of decreasing phase potential. The result is equivalent to gravitational attraction.

6.2 Quantum–Gravitational Unification

Because both quantum coherence and gravitational curvature depend on phase relations, TPF provides a common foundation. At microscopic scales, token exchange governs interference and entanglement; at macroscopic scales, large ensembles of coherent tokens form continuous phase gradients equivalent to gravitational fields. Thus, quantum and gravitational behaviour are expressions of the same underlying principle of phase-token exchange [7].

6.3 Speed of Light and Source Independence

TPF gives a natural ontology for the empirical fact that the speed of light in vacuum is independent of the velocity of its source by treating light not as corpuscular ejecta whose velocity inherits source motion but as propagating phase-token flux whose propagation velocity is set by the vacuum token-field propagation constant. The vacuum supports a phase-token medium characterised by a propagation speed determined by the microscopic token-transfer dynamics and the medium's phase-response coefficients. Token emissions from moving sources supply phase disturbances to this medium but do not carry net bulk transport of the medium itself; a token disturbance launched into the vacuum propagates at the medium's characteristic speed independent of the emitter's instantaneous velocity. This may be expressed phenomenologically by a linear wave equation for the dominant phase-density mode ψ ,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = c^2 \nabla^2 \psi,$$

whose characteristic signal speed is c . Emission conditions at the source set phase and frequency boundary conditions for ψ but do not alter the propagation characteristic; Doppler effects arise from source-imposed phase-frequency relations at emission, not from a change in propagation speed.

6.4 Action on Inertial Bodies and a Token-Based Account of Inertia

TPF supplies a concise account of how forces produce acceleration of inertial bodies through imbalance in local phase-token exchange. In the TPF ontology an inertial body is an ensemble of subsystems continually exchanging tokens with the surrounding phase-field; its inertia quantifies the resistance of its internal token-exchange network to changes in the net token-flux state. An external perturbation that attempts to change the body's state of motion must reorganise the local pattern of token exchanges; the rate at which token flows can be redistributed is finite and governed by the body-field coupling constants. Phenomenologically define an effective inertial mass m_{eff} as the coupling coefficient between changes in the body's token-flux momentum P_τ and centre-of-mass acceleration a :

$$m_{eff} a = \frac{dP_\tau}{dt}.$$

Here P_τ represents the net momentum-like quantity carried by coherent token flux coupled to the body, and external forces change P_τ by altering boundary conditions for token exchange. Combining this with the gravitational token-gradient law

$$a \propto -\nabla \rho_\phi,$$

yields a unified token-account of both the origin of gravitational acceleration (phase-density gradients biasing token exchange) and the inertial response (finite reconfiguration rate of token flux within the body). In this view mass has a dual role: (i) as a gravitational coupling parameter that sets how strongly a body responds to ambient phase-density gradients, and (ii) as an inertial coupling parameter quantifying how readily the body's internal token network can change its net token-flux momentum. Equivalence of inertial and gravitational mass becomes a statement about the shared origin of both couplings in the same underlying token-matter interaction; enforcing proportionality to the coupling to ρ_ϕ (and fixing proportionality by experiment) recovers the weak equivalence principle in the token ontology.

6.5 Testable Consequences and Operational Remarks

TPF predicts that manipulations which alter local token-exchange coupling (for example by strongly modifying local coherence channels around a test mass) should change its effective inertial response and gravitational coupling in tandem; experiments that independently vary local phase-coupling while monitoring inertial and gravitational response provide a direct test. The separation of emission boundary conditions and vacuum propagation implies that source-velocity-dependent second-order corrections to light propagation should vanish; precision tests of isotropy and source-independent propagation constrain parameters of the vacuum token dynamics (e.g., any dispersion or anisotropy in c would reflect structure in the vacuum token medium). The wave-equation form for ψ and the acceleration law together permit quantitative modelling: match c and the

mapping between ρ_ϕ and mass distribution to recover Newtonian and post-Newtonian limits, and predict any small deviations in inertial response when local token coupling is engineered.

7 Interpretive Remarks

TPF unifies multiple phenomena without altering established quantum mathematics. Its strength lies in interpretation: by substituting tokens of phase exchange for particles of energy transfer, it removes paradoxes of duality and nonlocality while preserving predictive power. In the photon case, TPF restores continuity between classical wave theory and quantum quantisation. In the gravitational domain, it provides a mechanism linking curvature to coherence. Above all, it demonstrates that quantum mechanics may describe not probability amplitudes in abstract space but the concrete dynamics of phase-token exchange in physical reality.

8 Appendix: Mathematical and Conceptual Notes

A.1 Finite Phase Representation

Following Pegg and Barnett [1], a finite-phase basis of dimension N allows the definition of phase states:

$$|\phi_k\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{in\phi_k} |n\rangle, \quad \phi_k = \frac{2\pi k}{N}.$$

Within TPF, these states correspond to individual token slots in which phase information can be stored and transferred. The token operator acts as a cyclic shift:

$$\hat{T}|\phi_k\rangle = |\phi_{k+1}\rangle.$$

This ensures conservation of phase-token number and closed-loop dynamics under modular arithmetic in N .

A.2 Relation to the Quantum Phase Operator

The Pegg–Barnett operator provides a mathematically consistent phase observable by restricting to finite N . TPF extends this to physical ontology, asserting that the discrete nature of phase measurement reflects an actual quantisation of phase tokens rather than a mathematical artefact. The limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ corresponds to the classical continuum, where token effects become indistinguishable from continuous fields.

A.3 Decoherence as Token Dispersion

Let ρ represent a density matrix for a coherent system exchanging tokens with its environment. Decoherence occurs when the off-diagonal elements of ρ diminish due to randomisation of phase-token indices beyond the coherence threshold. If σ_ϕ represents the phase uncertainty, interference visibility scales approximately as

$$\mathcal{V} \approx e^{-\sigma_\phi^2/\sigma_{\phi,c}^2},$$

where $\sigma_{\phi,c}$ is the critical coherence width. This directly relates macroscopic decoherence to token dispersal statistics.

A.4 Gravitational Phase Density

Let the local gravitational potential correspond to a phase density ρ_ϕ such that

$$\nabla\rho_\phi \propto \nabla\Phi.$$

Then the acceleration of a body is proportional to the gradient of phase-token density:

$$\mathbf{a} = -\kappa\nabla\rho_\phi,$$

where κ is a coupling constant linking the quantum and gravitational regimes.

A.5 The Token-Phase Equation of Continuity

The general form of token conservation in spacetime is expressed as

$$\frac{\partial \rho_\phi}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_\phi = 0,$$

where ρ_ϕ is the local token-phase density and \mathbf{J}_ϕ the phase-token flux. This continuity equation parallels charge conservation in electromagnetism and provides the dynamic core of TPF. When applied across scales, it yields both quantum coherence equations and classical gravitational laws as limiting cases.

9 Copyright Notice

This article is published by the Authors under a Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0 license. The Authors retain full copyright, with the first publication right granted to the London Journal of Physics.

10 References

- [1] Pegg, D. T. and Barnett, S. M., *Quantum Optical Phase and the Pegg–Barnett Formalism*, *Journal of Modern Optics*, 1989.
- [2] Zurek, W. H., *Decoherence and the Transition from Quantum to Classical*, *Physics Today*, 1991.
- [3] Einstein, A., *On a Heuristic Viewpoint Concerning the Production and Transformation of Light*, *Annalen der Physik*, 1905.
- [4] Dirac, P. A. M., *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, Oxford University Press, 1958.
- [5] Feynman, R. P., *QED: The Strange Theory of Light and Matter*, Princeton University Press, 1985.
- [6] Bohm, D., *Wholeness and the Implicate Order*, Routledge, 1980.
- [7] Penrose, R., *The Road to Reality: A Complete Guide to the Laws of the Universe*, Jonathan Cape, 2004.
- [8] Bell, J. S., *On the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen Paradox*, *Physics Physique Fizika*, 1964.
- [9] Aspect, A., Grangier, P., & Roger, G., *Experimental Tests of Realistic Local Theories via Bell's Theorem*, *Physical Review Letters*, 1981.